Cyclization of N‑Arylacrylamides via Radical Arylsulfenylation of Carbon−Carbon Double Bonds with Sulfonyl Hydrazides

Fu-Xiang Wang and Shi-Kai Tian*

Department of Chemistry, University of S[ci](#page-5-0)ence and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [An unpreced](#page-5-0)ented tandem radical sulfenylation/cyclization reaction of N-arylacrylamides with sulfonyl hydrazides has been developed in the presence of iodine for the selective synthesis of 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindoles and 3 sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones. Preliminary mechanistic studies showed that sulfonyl hydrazides decomposed completely at an early stage to thiosulfonates and disulfides, both of which underwent tandem radical sulfenylation/ cyclization with N-arylacrylamides at a late stage.

Sulfonyl hydrazides have recently emerged as useful sulfenylating agents for the functionalization of carbon–
budgeen hard ¹ subsequence with a hard ² subsequently hydrogen bonds,¹ carbon−carbon multiple bonds,² carbon− heteroatom bonds,³ and phosphorus-hydrogen bonds.⁴ When compared to co[mm](#page-5-0)only employed sulfenylating age[n](#page-5-0)ts such as thiols, disulfides, sulfenyl halides, sulfenate este[rs](#page-5-0), and sulfenamides, sulfonyl hydrazides are much more amenable to handling because, in general, they are readily accessible solids, free of unpleasant odor, and compatible with moisture. Technically, the sulfenylation with sulfonyl hydrazides does not require external reductants to decrease the valence of sulfur from $+6$ to $+2$ in that the NHNH₂ moiety is utilized to remove the two oxygen atoms from the $SO₂$ group to generate sulfur electrophiles as well as water and molecular nitrogen as byproducts.

As part of our efforts in exploring the synthetic utilities of monosubstituted hydrazines, $1a,2b,3b,5$ we have recently developed an iodine-catalyzed oxysulfenylation reaction of alkenes with sulfonyl hydrazides an[d alcoho](#page-5-0)ls, which, however, is not applicable to electron-deficient alkenes such as α , β -unsaturated amides.^{2b} On the other hand, arenesulfonyl hydrazides were reported recently by Li, Xu, and co-workers to undergo tandem radical [su](#page-5-0)lfonylation/cyclization with N-arylacrylamides in the presence of TBHP, KI, and 18-crown-6 to afford 3-(sulfonylmethyl)oxindoles (Scheme 1a).⁶ It is noteworthy that Narylacrylamides serve as versatile building blocks for the construction of functionalized [o](#page-5-0)xindoles, which has been found in many biologically relevant compounds.⁷ In this context, we wondered if iodine could render such a tandem process. However, to our surprise, sulfenylation r[at](#page-5-0)her than sulfonylation took place between sulfonyl hydrazides and Narylacrylamides in the presence of iodine. Importantly, this tandem sulfenylation/cyclization reaction proceeded in a radical pathway to afford either 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindoles or 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones with high regioselectivity (Scheme 1b). 8

(a) Li and $Xu:6$

Initially, we employed 20 mol % iodine to catalyze the model reaction of N-arylacrylamide 1a with sulfonyl hydrazide 2a in 1,2-dichloroethane. The reaction mixture was heated under air in a sealed tube at 90 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h and 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindole 3a was isolated in 49% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Prolonging the reaction time to 48 h improved the yield to 73%, and on the other hand, elevating the [temperat](#page-1-0)ure to 120 °C improved the yield to 86% (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). Since iodine is inexpensive, we increased its amount to 1 equiv and found that the yield was impro[ved to 9](#page-1-0)7% (Table 1, entry 4). The oxygen in air proved unnecessary according to the control experiment performed under nitrogen, whic[h gave 3](#page-1-0)-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindole 3a in 94% yield (Table 1, entry 5). Moreover, performing the reaction under oxygen led to a lower yield because a higher concentration [of mole](#page-1-0)cular oxygen could accelerate the decomposition of the sulfonyl hydrazide into a sulfonic acid via the intermediacy of a sulfinic acid (Table 1, entry 6).¹⁰ Replacing iodine with NIS (*N*-iodosuccinimide) dramatically decreased the yield, and even no desired [product](#page-1-0)

Received: October 6, 2015 Published: November 19, 2015

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

a Reaction conditions: N-arylacrylamide 1a (0.20 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide 2a (0.24 mmol), catalyst (0.2−1 equiv), solvent (0.50 mL), under air at 90 °C (oil bath) for 24 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^cThe reaction was run under nitrogen. d The reaction was run under oxygen.

was isolated when using either "Bu₄NI or HI as the catalyst (Table 1, entries 7−9). Finally, a number of common organic solvents were examined, and no better yield was obtained (Table 1, entries 10−16).

Under the optimized conditions, a range of β -unsubstituted N-arylacrylamides smoothly underwent 5-exo-trig cyclization via iodine-catalyzed sulfenylation with sulfonyl hydrazides, and structurally diverse 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindoles were isolated in moderate to excellent yields (Table 2, 3a−w). In general, the reaction with aromatic sulfonyl hydrazides gave much higher yields than that with aliphatic ones (3a−i versus 3j), and notably, the cyclization proceeded with high regioselectivity regarding the carbon−carbon bond formation of the aromatic ring (3r and 3s). Moreover, the reaction is highly sensitive to the nature of N-substituents in substrates 1 and no desired cyclization was observed when R^2 was hydrogen or an electronwithdrawing group such as a p-toluenesulfonyl group or an acetyl group.

There are two cyclization modes, 5-exo-trig versus 6-endotrig, identified for β-substituted N-arylacrylamides in their sulfenylation reaction with sulfonyl hydrazides, which required 2 equiv of iodine to achieve better yields. When R^3 and R^4 were both alkyl groups, a 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindole was isolated as the only cyclization product (Table 2, 3x). In contrast, the reaction with a $β$ -aryl-N-arylacrylamide or an $α$ -unsubstituted $β$ alkyl-N-arylacrylamide only afforded a 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin- $2(1H)$ -one, whose structure was further confirmed by Raney Ni-mediated desulfuration (Scheme 2).¹¹ It is noteworthy that 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones 4a−c were produced with very high diastereoselectivit[y a](#page-6-0)ccording to NMR spectroscopic analysis.¹

The cyclization reaction failed to proceed with α,β unsubstituted N-arylacrylam[ide](#page-6-0)s. For example, no cyclization product was detected at all in the reaction of N-arylacrylamide 1s with sulfonyl hydrazide $2a$ (eq 1). Instead, the reaction gave Table 2. Sulfenylation of N-Arylacrylamides with Sulfonyl Hydrazides Leading to Functionalized Oxindoles^a

a Reaction conditions: N-arylacrylamide 1 (0.20 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide 2 (0.24 mmol), iodine (0.20 mmol), in DCE (0.50 mL) under air at 90° C (oil bath) for 24 h. b^b Iodine (0.40 mmol) was used.

Scheme 2. Sulfenylation of N-Arylacrylamides with Sulfonyl Hydrazides Leading to Functionalized 3,4-Dihydroquinolin- $2(1H)$ -ones

bisthioether 6a in 34% yield. On the other hand, TsNHNHCOPh (2aa) did not undergo cyclization with Narylacrylamide 1a, and this result suggests that the $NHNH₂$ group is essential for the sulfonyl hydrazide to serve as an effective sulfenylating agent (eq 2).

$$
15 \text{ Me } + \text{TshHNH}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{12 (1 equity), DCE}} \text{6a. Ar} = \text{6a. Ar} \xrightarrow{\text{8b (1)}}
$$
\n
$$
16 \text{ Na } + \text{7shHNH}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{90 °C, 24 h}} \text{6a. Ar} = 4 \text{ MeC}_6 \text{H} \xrightarrow{\text{8Ar}} \text{SAr} \xrightarrow{\text{(1)}}
$$

1a + TsNHNHCOPh
$$
\frac{I_2(1 \text{ equiv})}{2}
$$
, DCE, 90 °C, 24 h
2aa 0%

To gain insights into the reaction mechanism, we carried out ¹ ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture of Narylacrylamide 1c with sulfonyl hydrazide 2a in deuterated chloroform and found that the sulfonyl hydrazide decomposed completely at an early stage to a 60:40 mixture of thiosulfonate 7a and disulfide $8a$, 10 both of which were gradually converted to the desired oxindole 3l at a late stage (Table 3).

Table 3. ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Analysis of the Reaction Mixture

MeO.	Me ₂				
		2a , I_2 (1 equiv)		\div 3I + Ar- $\frac{11}{11}$ -S-Ar + Ar-S-S-Ar 8a	
	1c Me	CDCI ₃ , 90 $^{\circ}$ C	∩	7а	$Ar = 4$ -Me C_6H_4
entry	time (h)	2a(%)	7a(%)	8a $(\%)$	31 $(\%)$
1	0.5	10	55	35	$\mathbf{0}$
2		Ω	56	38	6
3	\mathfrak{D}	Ω	49	36	15
$\overline{4}$		Ω	31	24	45
5	24	0	0		95

Both intermediates 7a and 8a were isolated and underwent tandem sulfenylation/cyclization with N-arylacrylamide 1a to give the desired oxindole product in good yields (Scheme 3).

Moreover, treatment of thiosulfonate 7a with 1 equiv of iodine gave disulfide 8a in 35% yield under the standard conditions. Although sulfinic acid 9a was not detected by the aforementioned ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis (Table 3), it was reported previously to be generated through the decomposition of the corresponding sulfonyl hydrazide upon heating.¹⁰ Therefore, we carried out the reaction of sulfinic acid 9a with N-arylacrylamide 1a and found that 3-(sulfenylmethyl) oxindol[e](#page-6-0) 3a was produced, albeit in a lower yield. Moreover, in the presence of iodine, sulfinic acid 9a was converted to thiosulfonate 7a in 60% yield together with disulfide 8a in 15% yield.

Addition of 1 equiv of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) to the reaction mixture of N-arylacrylamide 1a, sulfonyl hydrazide 2a, and iodine significantly decreased the yield (from 97% to 34%) for the formation of the desired cyclization

product. Moreover, replacement of BHT with 2,2,6,6 tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) almost completely inhibited the desired reaction. These results suggest that the reaction may proceed via a radical pathway, which is substantially supported by the following experiment. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrum of the same reaction mixture displayed the resonance characteristic of a tertiary carbon radical having $β$ -hydrogens with an absorption maximum at $g = 2.0050$ (Figure 1).¹²

Figure 1. EPR spectrum of the reaction mixture.

According to the above experimental results and previous studies, $1a,7$ we propose the following reaction pathways for the tandem sulfenylation/cyclization of N-arylacrylamides with sulfony[l hy](#page-5-0)drazides, wherein iodine plays multiple roles as an oxidant, a reductant, and a radical initiator (Scheme 4). Initially, sulfonyl hydrazide 2 reacts with iodine to give sulfinic acid 9 and sulfenyl iodide 11.^{1a} The two int[ermediates](#page-3-0) undergo nucleophilic substitution to give thiosulfonate 7, which is reduced by iodine to gi[ve](#page-5-0) disulfide 8. Alternatively, thiosulfonate 7 is also generated through reduction of sulfinic acid 9 with iodine. In these steps, iodine is converted to HI and HOI, the two of which react to give water and regenerate iodine. Both thiosulfonate 7 and disulfide 8 are attacked by iodine radical, generated from iodine upon heating, 13 to give sulfenyl radical 13. Regioselective addition of radical 13 to Narylacrylamide 1 leads to the formation of [alk](#page-6-0)yl radical 14 or 16, depending on which one is more stable. Cyclization of radical 14, followed by aromatization, gives 3-(sulfenylmethyl) oxindole 3. ⁷ On the other hand, tandem cyclization/ aromatization of radical 16 gives 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquino- $\lim_{n \to \infty} 2(1H)$ -o[ne](#page-5-0) 4. However, when R^3 is hydrogen, the conformation required for the cyclization is unfavorable, and consequently, rad[ic](#page-5-0)al 14 prefers to couple with radical 13 to give bisthioether 6.

In summary, we have developed an unprecedented tandem sulfenylation/cyclization reaction of N-arylacrylamides with sulfonyl hydrazides, selectively leading to 3-(sulfenylmethyl) oxindoles and 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones. In the presence of iodine, β -unsubstituted N-arylacrylamides underwent sulfenylation with sulfonyl hydrazides, followed by 5-exo-trig cyclization to afford structurally diverse 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindoles in moderate to excellent yields. In contrast, the reaction with $β$ -substituted N-arylacrylamides afforded

Scheme 4. Proposed Reaction Pathways

either 3-(sulfenylmethyl)oxindoles or 3-sulfenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones with high regioselectivity depending on the nature of $α$ - and $β$ -substituents. Preliminary mechanistic studies showed that sulfonyl hydrazides decomposed completely at an early stage to thiosulfonates and disulfides, both of which underwent tandem radical sulfenylation/cyclization with N-arylacrylamides at a late stage.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Chemical shifts (δ) and coupling constants (J) were expressed in ppm and Hz, respectively. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an LC-TOF spectrometer using electron spray ionization (ESI) techniques. N-Arylacrylamides 1, ¹⁴ sulfonyl hydrazides 2 (except 2a),¹⁵ thiosulfonate 7a, disulfide 8a, sulfinic acid 9a, and compound
 $\frac{15}{100}$ this sulfinity is distant the literature procedures. were prepared according [to](#page-6-0) literature procedures.

[Gen](#page-6-0)eral Procedure for the Sulfenylation of N-Arylacrylami[des](#page-5-0) with Sulfonyl Hydrazides (Table 2, Scheme 2, and eq 1). A mixture of N-arylacrylamide 1 (0.20 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide 2 (0.24 mmol; for the synthesis of bisthioether 6a: 0.48 mmol), and iodine (50.8 mg, 0.20 mmol; for t[he synthesis of oxind](#page-1-0)ole 3x [an](#page-2-0)d dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one 4: 101.6 mg, 0.40 mmol) in 1,2 dichloroethane (0.50 mL) was heated at 90 °C (oil bath) under air for 24 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and purified directly by silica gel chromatography, eluting with ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:1 to 1:10), to give oxindole 3, 3,4-dihydroquinolin- $2(1H)$ -one 4, or bisthioether 6a. The structure of compounds 3a, 3x, and 4a−c was further confirmed by desulfuration (see below). The relative stereochemistry of compounds 4a and 5a was assigned by 2D NOESY spectroscopic ananlysis and that of compounds 4b−c was assigned according to the vicinal proton−proton NMR coupling constants.

1,3-Dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3a). Colorless oil (57.6 mg, 97%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.27 (m,

1H), 7.19 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.02−6.96 (m, 3H), 6.86 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.38 (d, $J = 12.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, $J =$ 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.1, 143.4, 136.6, 132.4, 131.2, 129.5, 128.2, 123.3, 122.5, 108.0, 49.1, 43.4, 26.3, 23.0, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 298.1260, found 298.1257.

1,3-Dimethyl-3-((phenylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3b). Colorless oil (50.9 mg, 90%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.22−7.12 (m, 6H), 7.01−6.95 (m, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.0, 143.4, 136.1, 132.3, 130.5, 128.7, 128.3, 126.4, 123.3, 122.5, 108.0, 49.0, 42.8, 26.3, 23.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}NOS^+ (M + H)^+$ 284.1104, found 284.1102.

3-(((4-Methoxyphenyl)thio)methyl)-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (3c). Colorless oil (46.9 mg, 75%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31−7.27 (m, 1H), 7.17−7.10 (m, 3H), 7.02−6.96 (m, 1H), 6.86 (d, J $= 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.1, 159.0, 143.5, 133.9, 132.4, 128.2, 126.4, 123.3, 122.5, 114.3, 108.0, 55.3, 49.3, 44.5, 26.3, 23.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}NO_2S^+$ (M + H)⁺ 314.1209, found 314.1207.

3-(((4-Fluorophenyl)thio)methyl)-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (3d). Colorless oil (48.8 mg, 81%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31– 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.17−7.09 (m, 3H), 7.00−6.94 (m, 1H), 6.90−6.82 (m, 3H), 3.35 (s, 2H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.0, 162.0 (d, J = 245.3 Hz), 143.5, 133.5 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 132.1, 131.0, 128.3, 122.9 (d, $J = 69.7$ Hz), 115.7 (d, $J = 21.7$ Hz), 108.0, 49.3, 43.9, 26.3, 23.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}FNOS^+$ (M $+ H$ ⁺ 302.1009, found 302.1009.

3-(((4-Chlorophenyl)thio)methyl)-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (3e). Colorless oil (55.8 mg, 88%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32– 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.15−7.07 (m, 5H), 7.01−6.95 (m, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 143.4, 134.6, 132.5, 132.1, 132.0, 128.8, 128.4, 123.2, 122.5, 108.1, 49.1, 43.0, 26.3, 23.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}CNOS^+(M + H)^+$ 318.0714, found 318.0717.

3-(((4-Bromophenyl)thio)methyl)-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (3f). Colorless oil (60.0 mg, 83%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32−7.27 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.02− 6.96 (m, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.39 $(d, J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s,$ 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 143.4, 135.3, 132.1, 132.0, 131.7, 128.4, 123.2, 122.5, 120.5, 108.1, 49.1, 42.8, 26.3, 23.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}BrNOS^+$ (M + H)⁺ 362.0209, found 362.0206.

3-(((4-Iodophenyl)thio)methyl)-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (3g). Colorless oil (73.6 mg, 90%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.31–7.25 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 7.14 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 7.01−6.96 (m, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 1.44 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 143.4, 137.6, 136.2, 132.1, 132.0, 128.4, 123.2, 122.6, 108.1, 91.5, 49.0, 42.6, 26.3, 23.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}NOS^+ (M + H)^+$ 410.0070, found 410.0064.

3-((Mesitylthio)methyl)-1,3,5-trimethylindolin-2-one (3h). Colorless oil (59.7 mg, 88%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.06 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.80 (s, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.19 $(s, 3H)$, 3.11 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 9H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.0, 142.3, 141.1, 137.7, 132.5, 131.9, 130.4, 128.7, 128.4, 123.9, 107.7, 49.0, 42.8, 26.2, 23.5, 21.6, 21.1, 20.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{26}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 340.1730, found 340.1727.

1,3-Dimethyl-3-((naphthalen-1-ylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3i). Colorless oil (51.3 mg, 77%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23−8.19 (m, 1H), 7.81−7.76 (m, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.41 (m, 3H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22−7.18 (m, 1H), 7.10 $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.94–6.89 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 6.78 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.46 \text{ }$ $(d, J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.41 (d, J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.15 (s, 3\text{H}), 1.42 (s,$

3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 143.4, 133.9, 133.4, 133.0, 132.3, 130.8, 128.4, 128.1, 126.3, 126.0, 125.4, 123.2, 122.3, 108.1, 49.3, 43.2, 26.2, 23.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}NOS^+$ (M + H)+ 334.1260, found 334.1257.

1,3-Dimethyl-3-((octylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3j). Colorless oil (32.5 mg, 51%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.03−6.97 (m, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 2.95 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.25 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.37−1.33 (m, 4H), 1.23−1.13 (m, 11H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.6, 143.5, 133.0, 128.2, 123.0, 122.4, 108.0, 31.8, 29.2, 29.1, 28.7, 26.3, 22.9, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 320.2043, found 320.2039.

1,3,5-Trimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3k). Colorless oil (52.2 mg, 84%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.08–7.01 (m, 3H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.72 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.26 $(s, 3H), 2.22$ $(s, 3H), 1.40$ $(s, 3H), 1.3C$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.0, 141.0, 136.4, 132.4, 132.2, 131.9, 131.3, 129.4, 128.3, 124.2, 107.7, 49.3, 43.4, 26.3, 23.1, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}NOS⁺$ $(M + H)^+$ 312.1417, found 312.1415.

5-Methoxy-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3l). Colorless oil (59.5 mg, 91%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.80−6.70 (m, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.35 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.8, 155.9, 136.9, 136.6, 133.6, 132.4, 131.3, 129.4, 112.5, 110.7, 108.2, 55.6, 49.7, 43.5, 26.3, 23.1, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}NO_2S^+ (M + H)^+$ 328.1366, found 328.1362.

5-Fluoro-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3m). Colorless oil (46.6 mg, 74%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.93 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.34 $(d, J = 13.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 3.30 $(d, J = 13.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 3.19 $(s, 3\text{H})$, 2.27 $(s,$ $3H$), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.7, 159.2 (d, J = 239.1 Hz), 139.4, 136.9, 134.0 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 132.0, 131.4, 129.5, 114.3 (d, J = 23.4 Hz), 111.6 (d, J = 24.7 Hz), 108.3 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 49.8, 43.3, 26.4, 23.0, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}FNOS^+$ (M $+$ H)^{$+$} 316.1166, found 316.1164.

5-Chloro-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3n). Colorless oil (50.3 mg, 76%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 $(dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.98–6.94 (m, 3H),$ 6.74 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (s, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.6, 142.0, 137.0, 133.9, 131.9, 131.5, 129.5, 128.0, 127.9, 124.0, 108.8, 49.8, 43.3, 26.3, 23.0, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}CNOS^+ (M + H)^+$ 332.0870, found 332.0866.

5-Bromo-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3o). Colorless oil (71.3 mg, 95%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 $(dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,$ 2H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 178.5, 142.5, 137.0, 134.2, 131.8, 131.5, 130.8, 129.5, 126.7, 115.2, 109.3, 49.7, 43.3, 26.3 23.0, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}BrNOS^{+}(M + H)^{+}$ 376.0365, found 376.0361.

5-Iodo-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3p). Colorless oil (79.5 mg, 94%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 $(dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.4 Hz,$ 2H), 6.96 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, $J =$ 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.3, 143.2, 137.0, 136.8, 134.5, 132.3, 131.8, 131.5, 129.5, 109.9, 85.1, 49.6, 43.4, 26.3, 23.0, 21.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}NOS^{+}(M + H)^{+}$ 424.0227, found 424.0222.

1,3,7-Trimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3q). Colorless oil (51.6 mg, 83%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.05−6.97 (m, 4H), 6.91−6.85 (m, 1H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.33 (s, 2H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.8, 141.2, 136.5, 133.0, 132.5, 131.9, 131.2, 129.5, 122.4, 121.1, 119.6, 48.3, 43.7, 29.6, 23.5, 21.0, 19.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}NOS^{+}(M + H)^{+}$ 312.1417, found 312.1412.

5,6-Dimethoxy-1,3-dimethyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3r). Colorless oil (54.3 mg, 76%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.06 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 2H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.4, 149.5, 145.0, 137.0, 136.6, 132.6, 131.3, 129.4, 123.0, 108.4, 94.1, 56.4, 49.6, 43.7, 29.7, 26.4, 23.2, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}NO_3S^+$ (M + H)⁺ 358.1471, found 358.1469.

1,3-Dimethyl-1-((p-tolylthio)methyl)-1H-benzo[e]indol-2(3H)-one (3s). Colorless oil (49.9 mg, 72%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40−7.35 (m, 1H), 7.31−7.25 (m, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 180.3, 136.4, 131.6, 131.2, 130.4, 129.7, 129.6, 129.0, 127.0, 123.5, 123.2, 121.7, 109.4, 51.2, 43.2, 26.5, 23.4, 20.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{22}NOS^{+}$ (M + H)⁺ 348.1417, found 348.1415.

1,8-Dimethyl-1-((p-tolylthio)methyl)-5,6-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo- [3,2,1-ij]quinolin-2(4H)-one (3t). Colorless oil $(47.2 \text{ mg}, 70\%)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 3.76−3.63 (m, 2H), 3.36 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.82−2.67 (m, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.07−1.94 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.8, 136.7, 136.4, 132.6, 131.4, 131.1, 130.9, 129.4, 127.3, 122.0, 119.7, 50.6, 43.3, 38.9, 24.5, 22.8, 21.4, 21.3, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}NOS^{+}(M + H)^{+}$ 338.1573, found 338.1569.

1-Benzyl-3-methyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3u). Colorless oil (47.7 mg, 64%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.35 $(m, 2H)$, 7.32–7.22 $(m, 3H)$, 7.13 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 7.09 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz})$ Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.94–6.88 (m, 1H), 6.69 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, $J = 12.7 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 3.41 (d, $J = 12.7 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.3, 142.5, 136.6, 135.8, 132.6, 132.3, 131.1, 129.5, 128.7, 128.1, 127.5, 127.3, 123.3, 122.5, 109.1, 49.3, 43.9, 43.5, 23.5, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}NOS^{+}$ (M + H)⁺ 374.1573, found 374.1570.

3-(Hydroxymethyl)-1-methyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2 one (3v). Colorless oil (25.0 mg, 40%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35−7.29 (m, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.05−6.97 (m, 3H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, $J = 13.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.9, 144.2, 136.8, 132.1, 131.3, 129.5, 128.9, 128.4, 123.9, 122.7, 108.3, 66.3, 54.5, 38.9, 26.3, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}NO_2S^+ (M + H)^+$ 314.1209, found 314.1210.

1,5-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-3-((p-tolylthio)methyl)indolin-2-one (3w). Colorless oil (72.4 mg, 97%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22−7.12 (m, 4H), 7.04−6.96 (m, 3H), 6.89−6.85 $(m, 3H)$, 6.70 $(d, J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.74 $(d, J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, J) $= 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 177.0, 141.9, 139.0, 136.6, 132.4, 131.9, 131.5, 130.1, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 127.6, 126.9, 126.4, 107.9, 57.2, 43.7, 26.5, 21.1, 20.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}NOS^{+}(M + H)^{+}$ 374.1573, found 374.1569.

1,3,5-Trimethyl-3-(1-(p-tolylthio)ethyl)indolin-2-one (3x). Obtained as an inseparable mixture of two diastereomers (We failed to determine the diastereomeric ratio by either NMR or HPLC analysis.); Colorless oil (42.9 mg, 66%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37– 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.12−7.08 (m, 3H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.3, 141.0, 137.2, 132.4, 132.2, 132.1, 131.6, 129.8, 128.4, 125.3, 107.6, 52.9, 52.4, 26.2, 23.2, 21.3, 21.1, 18.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}NOS^{+}$ (M + H)⁺ 326.1573, found 326.1573.

cis-1,3,6-Trimethyl-4-phenyl-3-(p-tolylthio)-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (4a). Colorless oil (51.1 mg, 66%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25−7.13 (m, 5H), 7.12−7.06 (m, 3H), 7.00−6.93 (m, 4H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.5, 140.0, 139.7, 137.1,

137.0, 132.8, 129.7, 129.4, 128.9, 128.6, 128.0, 127.4, 127.3, 127.0, 114.6, 54.7, 54.6, 30.1, 22.4, 21.3, 20.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{25}H_{26}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 388.1730, found 388.1732.

cis-1,6-Dimethyl-4-phenyl-3-(p-tolylthio)-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (4b). Colorless oil (61.9 mg, 83%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.40−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.27−7.08 (m, 6H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.97−6.91 (m, 3H), 4.31−4.29 (m, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 140.4, 138.3, 137.3, 133.5, 133.2, 130.4, 129.8, 129.4, 129.0, 128.9, 127.3, 127.1, 124.8, 114.9, 54.2, 48.5, 29.8, 21.2, 20.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 374.1573, found 374.1576.

cis-1,4,6-Trimethyl-3-(p-tolylthio)-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (4c). Colorless oil (39.8 mg, 64%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.09−7.05 (m, 3H), 6.97 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.13– 3.07 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.2, 138.1, 136.0, 133.4, 132.9, 129.7, 129.5, 129.0, 128.3, 128.1, 114.8, 53.9, 38.3, 29.7, 21.2, 20.9, 20.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}NOS^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 312.1417, found 312.1419.

N-Methyl-N-phenyl-2,3-bis(p-tolylthio)propanamide (6a). Colorless oil (27.7 mg, 34%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.20−7.14 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 4H), 6.83 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.67 (dd, $J =$ 11.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 13.6, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.07 (dd, J = 13.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 142.9, 138.4, 135.7, 133.7, 131.7, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 128.9, 128.8, 127.7, 47.5, 37.7, 35.4, 21.1, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{26}NOS_2^+ (M + H)^+$ 408.1450, found 408.1445.

Desulfuration of Compounds 3a, 3x, and 4a−c. A mixture of compound 3a (3x or 4a−c) (0.20 mmol) and Raney nickel (2.0 g) in ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed for 3 h.¹¹ After the nickel was filtered and washed with ethanol, the combined filtrate and washing solutions were evaporated under reduced pres[sur](#page-6-0)e. The residue was purified directly by silica gel chromatography, eluting with ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:3 to 1:10), to give oxindole 3aa (or 3xa) (known compounds) or 3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one 5a−c.

trans-1,3,6-Trimethyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (5a). Colorless oil (52.5 mg, 99%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27−7.16 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.03−6.95 (m, 4H), 4.00 $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.43 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 3.06-2.97 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.25 \text{ (s, 3H)},$ 1.14 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.4, 139.3, 137.8, 132.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 127.1, 115.2, 48.4, 40.0, 29.8, 20.6, 13.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}NO^{+}$ (M + H)⁺ 266.1539, found 266.1539.

1,6-Dimethyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (5b). Colorless oil (50.2 mg, quant.); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.30 $(m, 2H)$, 7.28–7.23 $(m, 1H)$, 7.15 $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 7.09 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz})$ Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 2.94 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.2, 141.3, 138.0, 132.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 127.1, 114.8, 41.5, 39.0, 29.6, 20.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}NO^{+}$ $(M + H)^{+}$ 252.1383, found 252.1378.

1,4,6-Trimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (5c). Colorless oil $(37.8 \text{ mg}, \text{quant.})$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 2.99−2.89 $(m, 1H)$, 2.64 (dd, J = 15.6, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.8, 137.4, 132.6, 130.9, 127.7, 127.0, 114.7, 39.2, 30.3, 29.4, 20.7, 19.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}NO^{+}$ (M + H)⁺ 190.1226, found 190.1228.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02322.

Copies of ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and 2D NOESY spectra [for products and EP](http://pubs.acs.org)R analys[is \(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02322)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: tiansk@ustc.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:tiansk@ustc.edu.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21472178 and 21232007) and the National Key Basic Research Program of China (2014CB931800).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Yang, F.-L.; Tian, S.-K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4929−4932. (b) Li, X.; Xu, Y.; Wu, W.; Jiang, C.; Qi, C.; Jiang, H. Chem.Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7911−7915. (c) Guo, S.-R.; He, W.-M.; Xiang, J.-N.; Yuan, Y.-Q. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8578−8581. (d) Zhao, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, T.; Liu, G.; Wang, H.; Lu, K. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13121−13123. (e) Sun, J.; Wang, Y.; Pan, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 3878−3881. (f) Zhao, X.; Zhang, L.; Lu, X.; Li, T.; Lu, K. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 2918−2924. (g) Sun, J.; Qiu, J.- K.; Zhu, Y.-L.; Guo, C.; Hao, W.-J.; Jiang, B.; Tu, S.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8217−8224. (h) Zhao, M.; Xie, P.; Bian, Z.; Zhou, A.; Ge, H.; Zhang, M.; Ding, Y.; Zheng, L. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9167−9175. (2) (a) Singh, R.; Raghuvanshi, D. S.; Singh, K. N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4202−4205. (b) Yang, F.-L.; Wang, F.-X.; Wang, T.-T.; Wang, Y.- J.; Tian, S.-K. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2111−2113.

(3) (a) Singh, N.; Singh, R.; Raghuvanshi, D. S.; Singh, K. N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5874−5877. (b) Wang, T.-T.; Yang, F.-L.; Tian, S.-K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 928−932.

(4) Kumaraswamy, G.; Raju, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2591− 2598.

(5) (a) Yang, F.-L.; Ma, X.-T.; Tian, S.-K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1582−1585. (b) Su, Y.-H.; Wu, Z.; Tian, S.-K. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6528−6530. (c) Wang, T.-T.; Wang, F.-X.; Yang, F.-L.; Tian, S.-K. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3802−3805. (d) Zhang, Y.-G.; Liu, X.-L.; He, Z.-Y.; Li, X.-M.; Kang, H.-J.; Tian, S.-K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 2765−2769. (e) Wang, Y.; Xu, J.-K.; Gu, Y.; Tian, S.-K. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 812−816. (f) Xu, J.-K.; Gu, Y.; Tian, S.-K. Youji Huaxue 2015, 35, 618−624. (g) Wang, Y.; Xu, Y.-N.; Fang, G.-S.; Kang, H.-J.; Gu, Y.; Tian, S.-K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 5367−5371.

(6) Li, X.; Xu, X.; Hu, P.; Xiao, X.; Zhou, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7343−7348.

(7) For reviews, see: (a) Chen, J.-R.; Yu, X.-Y.; Xiao, W.-J. Synthesis 2015, 47, 604−629. (b) Song, R.-J.; Liu, Y.; Xie, Y.-X.; Li, J.-H. Synthesis 2015, 47, 1195−1209.

(8) For a synthesis of 3-(trifluoromethylsulfenylmethyl)oxindoles from $AgSCF₃$ and N-arylacrylamides under oxidative conditions, see: (a) Yin, F.; Wang, X.-S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1128−1131. For other examples of the radical cyclization of N-arylacrylamides into oxindoles, see: (b) Shen, T.; Yuan, Y.; Song, S.; Jiao, N. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 4115−4118. (c) Wei, W.; Wen, J.; Yang, D.; Du, J.; You, J.; Wang, H. Green Chem. 2014, 16, 2988−2991. (d) Liu, J.; Zhuang, S.; Gui, Q.; Chen, X.; Yang, Z.; Tan, Z. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 3196−3202. (e) Li, X.; Xu, J.; Gao, Y.; Fang, H.; Tang, G.; Zhao, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 2621−2626. (f) Xia, D.; Miao, T.; Li, P.; Wang, L. Chem. - Asian J. 2015, 10, 1919−1925. For the radical cyclization of N- (arenesulfonyl)acrylamides with arenesulfonyl hydrazides into oxindoles, see: (g) Tian, Q.; He, P.; Kuang, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 6349−6353.

(9) For examples of the radical cyclization of N-arylacrylamides into 3,4-dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-ones, see: (a) Wang, H.; Sun, B.; Yang, J.; Wang, J.; Mao, P.; Yang, L.; Mai, W. J. Chem. Res. 2014, 38, 542−545. (b) Zhou, S.-L.; Guo, L.-N.; Wang, S.; Duan, X.-H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3589−3591. (c) Mai, W.-P.; Wang, J.-T.; Yang, L.-R.; Yuan, J.-W.; Xiao, Y.-M.; Mao, P.; Qu, L.-B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 204−207. (d) Mai, W.-P.; Sun, G.-C.; Wang, J.-T.; Song, G.; Mao, P.; Yang, L.-

R.; Yuan, J.-W.; Xiao, Y.-M.; Qu, L.-B. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8094− 8102. (e) Gao, F.; Yang, C.; Gao, G.-L.; Zheng, L.; Xia, W. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3478−3481. (f) Wang, Q.; Han, G.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 2464−2468. (g) Pan, X.-Q.; Zou, J.-P.; Yi, W.- B.; Zhang, W. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 7481−7529.

(10) Deavin, A.; Rees, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 4970−4973.

(11) (a) Mozingo, R.; Wolf, D. E.; Harris, S. A.; Folkers, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1943, 65, 1013−1016. (b) Yu, M.; Xie, Y.; Xie, C.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2164−2167.

(12) For details, see the Supporting Information.

(13) (a) Gromada, J.; Matyjaszewski, K. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 7664−7671. (b) Gao, X.; Pan, X.; Gao, J.; Huang, H.; Yuan, G.; Li, Y. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 210−[212. \(c\) Gao, X](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02322/suppl_file/jo5b02322_si_001.pdf).; Pan, X.; Gao, J.; Jiang, H.; Yuan, G.; Li, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1038−1041.

(14) (a) Wu, T.; Mu, X.; Liu, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12578−12581. (b) Mu, X.; Wu, T.; Wang, H.-Y.; Guo, Y.-L.; Liu, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 878−881. (c) Fabry, D. C.; Stodulski, M.; Hoerner, S.; Gulder, T. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 10834-10838.

(15) Myers, A. G.; Zheng, B.; Movassaghi, M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7507−7507.